

First some basic terminology:



It's mostly true that Scale > conformal in flat space

- The basic reason is
- That is, for a QFT to be scale invariant, it must be locally scale invariant, and this (almost) implies the others.
- I'll give a more detailed statement soon.

CFT = Building blocks for QFT

Almost any QFT that is well-defined eall scales is conformal in UV and ER:



Thus QFT is (almost) the problem of understanding CFTs and their deformations.

Cavert: this paradign misses some physically

interesting theories like QEDy and \$4 (Londay Pole)

Classically scale inversant FT's Easy: anything w/ no dimensionful parameters. * Mossiess QEDy x = 137 No scale classically. But B-fn is non-zero ~ | 3 Gov = 127 Not a scale-invariant QFT. * $\left[d^{4} \times \left[(\partial \phi)^{2} + g \phi^{4} \right] = not \alpha CFT because$ P, ≠0 But classically $9_{r} \Phi = 3 \Phi_{3}$ given sol'n Q, (x), find another sol'n $\phi_{2}(x) = \lambda^{\Delta} \phi_{1}(\lambda x)$ where $\Delta^{2} = \max dimension$ of ϕ $x \rightarrow x' = yx$ $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x') = \lambda^{-\Delta} \phi(x)$

A feur CFTs $+ \int (\partial \phi)^2 , \quad \int \overline{\psi} \not a \psi$

* jdz Gij(p) du pi di pi + mi pi pi

* 41 N=4 SYM

 $+ \int d^{3}x \left[\left(\partial \overline{p} \right)^{2} + m^{2} \overline{p}^{2} + g \overline{p}^{4} \right] \quad in \quad IR$

(critical Ising)

Note that Z is not a great tool to study this theory. It's strongly interacting. The most precise calculations (bootstrap) do not use Z whatsoever.

* 22 minimal models

etc.



 $= \left(d^{d} \times \overline{\iota_{g}} T^{\mu} (x) \sigma(x) \right)$ Ma = O Dilatation: J= XM Den, Sg gen = gen , J = 2 $D := O[X^{n} \tilde{J}]$ $\Delta D = \frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \, \overline{vg} \, T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x)$ Ma = 0 This almost implies $\mathcal{T}_{\mu}^{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = O$ In this case, all the other conformal charges are automatically conserved. $T_{uv}(x) = 0 \iff Conformal$ invariance



Aside: Scale VS. Conformal Why the "almost"? If $T^{M}_{m} = \nabla_{m} V^{M}_{n}$ then the "improved" dilatation current $j_{D}^{n} = T_{v}^{n} \chi^{v} - \sqrt{n}$ is conserved, and theory is scale inv. but not CFT. $V^{M} = V$ irial current $V^{M} = V L^{MV}$ = "internal part" of scale transformation Sinder 20 (P= (MT) $[V^{\mu}] = d - |$ and $\nabla_{\mu}V^{\mu} \neq 0$ Typically no such operator; Proven not to exist in d=2 (d=4) pertudatively or with some extra assumptions

"scale⇒ contormal"

EX. 31 Maxwell is scale inv, not CFT

VM & AJ FMU

JD is Not gauge invariant!

but D is.

(Not clear if we should call this a scale symmetry...)

Possibly: scale current => CFT in all d.

Operators

(scalar O(x)) O(x) is called "primary" if

 $[D, O(0)] = \Delta O(0)$ $\sum_{K_{m}} O(0)] = O$ $\sum_{K_{m}} O(0) = O$

finite version: under X-> 2X,

 $O(x) \rightarrow \lambda^{A}O(\lambda x)$ [cf. ϕ^{4} example]

for general conformal transformations, $X \rightarrow X'$ with

 $g'_{wv}(x) = \int (x)^{-2} g_{wv}(x)$

(Let's check this is really Ω_1^{-2} , not Ω_2^2 : For $\chi' = \lambda \chi_3$, $ds^2 = d\chi^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} d\chi^2$, **65** $g' = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} g \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda^2}}$

 $(\mathcal{T}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(X)^{\Delta} \mathcal{O}'(X')$

Cavent ds2 is fixed

Correlators (of primaries)

 $\langle O_{1}(\chi_{1}) O_{2}(\chi_{2}) \cdots \rangle_{dS^{2}} (g_{m})$ $= \Omega(X_1)^{\Delta_1} \Omega(X_2)^{\Delta_2} \dots \langle \mathcal{O}_1(X_1') \mathcal{O}_2(X_2') \dots \rangle_{dS^2}$

(g'n)

(Note M.f. is unchanged)



Recap end of prov. lecture:

 $q_{uv}(x') = \Omega^{-2}(x) q_{uv}(x')$

inf'ly,

 $3-\chi = \chi \leftarrow \chi$

Action on operators:

 $O'(x) \rightarrow O'(x) = e O(x) e$

Scaler primary :

 $G'(x) = \int (x')^{\Delta} O'(x')$

write to save:

 $\langle O'_{i}(x_{i}) \cdots \rangle = \Omega(x_{i}')^{A_{i}} \cdots \langle O'_{i}(x_{i}') \cdots \rangle$

descendant operators

 $\left[P_{\mathcal{M}_{1}},\dots,\left[P_{\mathcal{M}_{n}},\mathcal{O}(0)\right]\right] = \partial_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}\dots \partial_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\mathcal{O}(0)$ « momentum ops

have dimension $\Delta + n$.

All operators can be organized into lowest-cut. reps with primary 0(0) + descendants

[To see this, act w/ K. using conformal algebra,

 $DK_{L}O(b) = (\Delta - i) K_{L}O^{2}$

so K_{μ} lowers Δ . Unitarity requires $\Delta > 0$, to

this must terminate at a primary]

Weyl we'll see why contormal > weyl later ... In a Weyl-invariant theory, for any $\Omega^2(x)$, $\langle O'_{1}(X_{1}) O'_{2}(X_{2}) \cdots \rangle_{q}$ = $\Omega(x_1)^{\Delta_1} \Omega(x_2)^{\Delta_2} \cdots \langle O_1(x_1) O_2(x_2) \cdots \rangle_{\mathcal{N}^2 q}$ If Dqu' is the same Mf. as gur, then can reinterpret as a conformal transf. Ex: The conformal transf. $x' = \lambda x \Rightarrow$ (Q(X)Q(Y))2 $= \lambda^{2\Delta} \langle \mathcal{O}(\lambda x) \mathcal{O}(\lambda y) \rangle_{d X^{2}}$ $(\chi' = \lambda \chi \quad conf. \ transf.)$ $= \lambda^{2\delta} \langle \mathcal{O}(x) \mathcal{O}(y) \rangle \lambda^2 \partial x^2$ Wey 1 law for J2 = 2

CFTs are Weyl inv. (up to anomaly) b/c in curved space, $T^{\mu}_{\mu}(x) = A(x)$ 1 operator c-number built from by fielde R, R, v, ... (Well derive this soon in 2d.) Therefore Under que -> grow (1+20(X)) $\delta \log Z[g] = \int d^4x \, ig \langle T^{**}_{1}(x) \rangle \sigma(x)$ 2 < Q (x') ... > = $\int d^{d} x \, \tau q \, \langle T^{u}_{u}(x) O(x_{1}) \circ \cdots \rangle_{q} \sigma(x)$ cuntact term $DO'(x_1)S(x-x_1)$ (homework) = DO(X1) (O(X1) ···) + other contact terms = inf'l Weyl law

(Optional) Conformel => Weyl in 2d

In flat space,

 $T_{u}^{n} = 0$

⇒ In curved space gur, most general possibility

by dimensional analysis:

"central charge" (defn.)



Can a CFT have dim-0 operator?

Yes, sort of: Jaxax, [x]=0

We will now rule out such an operator in the trace.

Claim: RO cannot appear in Th

Intuition: this would relate correlators of O to metric deformations in an impossible way.

Consider $Z[g, J] = \langle e^{\int d^4 x J(x) O'(x)} \rangle_g$



Now we want to act again.

In conformel gauge,

 $ds^2 = e^{2\sigma} \delta_{\mu\nu} d\chi^{\mu} d\chi^{\nu}$

 $\sqrt{g}R = -2 \partial_{\mu}\partial^{\mu}\sigma$

 $S_{\sigma_1} S_{\sigma_2} \log Z = -\frac{c}{12\pi} \int d^2 x \ \sigma_1 \ \partial_2 d^2 \sigma_2$









 $= \left| 2 \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\right) \right|^{-2\Delta}$

Opzerve:

- * as $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$, $\langle OO \rangle_{cy1} \sim |T_1 T_2|^{-2\Delta}$ = | proper dist. | -2\Delta
 - (always!)
- * for t_>> t, decays exponentially.
 - This holds for all correlators on cylinder
 - (may require some smearing)
 - Japped.

Shortcut : Define $O_{cyl}(\tau,n) = e^{\tau\Delta} O(x = e^{\tau}n)$ then $\langle O_{cyl}(\overline{\iota}_{1,n_{1}}) O_{cyl}(\overline{\iota}_{2,n_{2}}) \rangle$ $= e^{(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\Delta} \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \mathcal{O}(x_2) \rangle$

by substitution.

I find this very confusing: Ogyl is an

operator in the flat-space CFT that "minics"

the physics in curved space.

But this is very standard.

Worse, people don't write "cyl", we're supposed

to know from its arguments.





 $\langle O \rangle \equiv$ "nothing @ ∞ " $\langle O \rangle = \langle O \rangle O (\infty)$ cshore $O(\infty) \equiv \lim_{X \to \infty} x^{2\Delta} O (x)$











